



Food Microbiology

Occurrence and characteristics of extended spectrum beta-lactamases-producing Enterobacteriaceae from foods of animal origin

İsmail Hakkı Tekiner*, Haydar Özpinar

Department of Food Engineering, İstanbul Aydin University, Florya Campus, Sefaköy, Küçükçekmece, İstanbul, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 April 2015

Accepted 12 November 2015

Available online 2 March 2016

Associate Editor: Ana Lúcia da Costa Darini

Keywords:

Bla gene

Enterobacteriaceae

ESBL

Public health

Turkey

ABSTRACT

Presence of extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) in bacteria is a growing health concern of global significance. The local, regional, national, and international epidemiological studies for extended spectrum beta-lactamases-producing Enterobacteriaceae and their encoding genes in foods are still incomplete. The objective of this study was to determine the occurrence of extended spectrum beta-lactamases-producing Enterobacteriaceae and the characteristics of their encoding genes from a total of 250 samples of various foods of animal-origin (100 raw chicken meat, 100 raw cow milk, and 50 raw cow milk cheese) sold in Turkey. Overall, 55 isolates were positive as extended spectrum beta-lactamases-producing Enterobacteriaceae. The most prevalent extended spectrum beta-lactamases-producing strain were identified as *Escherichia coli* (80%), followed by *Enterobacter cloacae* (9.1%), *Citrobacter braakii* (5.5%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (3.6%), and *Citrobacter werkmanii* (1.8%) by Vitek® MS. The simultaneous production of extended spectrum beta-lactamases and AmpC was detected in five isolates (9.1%) in *E. coli* (80%) and *E. cloacae* (20%). The frequency rates of *bla_{TEM}*, *bla_{CTX-M}*, and *bla_{SHV}* were 96.4%, 53.7%, and 34.5%, respectively. The co-existence of bla-genes was observed in 82% of extended spectrum beta-lactamases producers with a distribution of *bla_{TEM}* & *bla_{CTX-M}* (52.7%), *bla_{TEM}* & *bla_{SHV}* (20%), *bla_{TEM}* & *bla_{CTX-M}* & *bla_{SHV}* (12.7%), and *bla_{SHV}* & *bla_{CTX-M}* (1.8%). The most prevalent variant of *bla_{CTX-M}* clusters was defined as *bla_{CTX-M-1}* (97.2%), followed by *bla_{CTX-M-8}* (2.8%). In summary, the analysed foods were found to be posing a health risk for Turkish consumers due to contamination by Enterobacteriaceae with a diversity of extended spectrum beta-lactamases encoding genes.

© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: ihakkitekiner@aydin.edu.tr (İ.H. Tekiner).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2015.11.034>

1517-8382/© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Antibiotics are used as veterinary and human medicines for treatment, control and prevention of infectious diseases. However, their repeated off-label over use can have un-anticipated adverse effects including development of antibiotic resistance in the bacteria towards modern beta-lactam antibiotics.¹

One of the antibiotic resistance mechanisms in the bacteria is the production of specific enzymes such as beta-lactamase to break down the four-atom beta-lactam ring of the antibiotics. When this ring is opened through hydrolysis by these enzymes, the antimicrobial properties of the antibiotics are completely lost.² The extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) are the rapidly evolving plasmid-mediated group of beta-lactamases.³ ESBL can hydrolyse penicillins, first, second and third-generation cephalosporins, and aztreonam (but not cephamycins or carbapenems). The activity of ESBL can be inhibited by beta-lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanic acid (CLA).⁴ The antibiotic resistance leads to increased morbidity, mortality and the cost of treating infections, in particular, those caused by ESBL-producing bacteria.⁵ The most prevalent extended spectrum beta-lactamases are TEM, SHV, and CTX-M variants.⁶ The CTX-M variants are also clustered in five major groups: 1, 2, 8, 9, and 25.⁷ After 2000s, CTX-M type enzymes have exhibited a rapid growth over a wide range geographic areas, and have become much more prevalent than TEM and SHV type enzymes.⁸

The ESBLs are mainly encoded by plasmids and mobile genetic elements such as integrons, insertion sequences, transposons and plasmids. These genetic elements are easily transferable to other bacteria such as those belonging to Enterobacteriaceae.^{5,9} The widespread use of third generation cephalosporins and aztreonam has led to the emergence and dissemination of ESBL producing strains and their encoding genes, in particular, among Enterobacteriaceae associated with severe enteric illnesses.^{10–13}

The major genes responsible for ESBL production include TEM genes (*bla*_{TEM}), SHV genes (*bla*_{SHV}), and CTX-M genes (*bla*_{CTX-M}).¹⁴ This continued evolution is a serious threat to the public health by limiting the ability to treat bacterial infections.^{15,16} Most of the medicines under human consumption are antibiotics. Moreover, antibiotic use in veterinary medicine and for growth promotion and disease prevention in agriculture, aquaculture and horticulture is also a huge problem of antibiotic resistance, since most of the antibiotics manufactured (100,000–200,000 tonnes per year) is estimated to be massively consumed by these sectors, especially in the food-producing animals for last 60 years.^{17,18} Therefore, food-producing animals and foods of animal-origin are under suspicion for being transmission vectors for colonisation and infection of the humans with ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae.^{11,16}

The potential contribution of foods to human health risks by dissemination of plasmid-born ESBLs should be epidemiologically assessed because local, regional, national and international information related to this emerging phenomenon is largely incomplete in various geographical locations, including Turkey.^{9,19} The Turkish authorities accept that the use of antibiotics in the food animals to make them

grow faster and/or to prevent disease cannot be controlled effectively due to the economic benefits of food-animals, as the sector largely ignores risks associated with human and animal health.²⁰ Therefore, chicken meat, raw milk and cheese can be identified as high risk-carrying contributors for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae and their encoding genes.⁹

The objective of this study was to determine the occurrence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae and the frequency rates of corresponding encoding genes in various foods of animal origin sold in Turkey.

Materials and methods

Reference cultures

An ESBL-negative strain *Escherichia coli* ATCC®25922 and an ESBL-positive strain *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC®700603 were used as negative and positive controls, respectively, in the phenotypic and genotypic methods.

Sampling, sample preparation, isolation and identification of ESBL suspicious bacteria

During the period of May 2014 to November 2014, a total of 250 food samples of animal origin (100 packed raw chicken meat from public bazaars, markets and poultry farms, 100 raw cow milk from containers and holding tanks in bazaars and dairy farms, and 50 unpacked raw cow milk cheese from bazaars and markets) were randomly collected in different cities of Marmara, Aegean and Black Sea regions. All samples were placed into sampling bags, and taken to the laboratory in a thermobox container at 4 °C. The sample preparation and further examinations were quickly started after the sampling.

Ten millilitres of raw milk in 90 mL of Enterobacteriaceae Enrichment Broth (LABM, Lancashire, England), and 25 g either of raw cow milk, cheese or raw chicken meat in 225 mL of the mentioned broth was homogenised in a sterile bag (Interscience, Saint Nom, France) for 2 min by a stomacher (EasyMix, AES Chemunex, Rennes, France), and followed by an aerobic incubation at 37 °C for 18–24 h.²¹ After that, 10 µL of the pre-enriched suspension was directly streaked onto Chromatic™ ESBL selective media (Liofilchem, Istanbul, Turkey). The inoculated plates were incubated at 37 °C for 18–24 h under aerobic condition as per to the manufacturer's instructions. The presumptive ESBL colonies were then sub-cultured onto Tryptic Soy Agar (LABM, Lancashire, England), followed by an incubation at 37 °C for 18–48 h. The species identification of suspected ESBL isolates was performed by Vitek® MS (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

Screening and confirmation of ESBLs

Presumptive ESBL-producers were screened using cefpodoxime (CPD; 10 µg), cefotaxime (CTX; 30 µg), and ceftazidime (CAZ; 30 µg) antibiotic discs (MAST Group, UK). A 0.5 McFarland standard inoculum of the isolate was spread onto Mueller Hinton Agar (LABM, Lancashire, England) plates. The discs were inserted on the plate, and allowed for incubation at 37 °C

Table 1 – Primer design, sequences, amplicon sizes and thermocycler conditions for bla-genes according to 23, 24.

Primer (F/R)	Sequence	Amplicon size (bp)	Thermocycler condition (TC)
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} -F	5'-GCTCACCCAGAACGCTGGT-3'	686	TC-1
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} -R	5'-CCATCTGGCC CCAGTGCTGC-3'		
<i>bla</i> _{SHV} -F	5'-CCCGCAGCCGTTGAGCAA-3'	733	TC-2
<i>bla</i> _{SHV} -R	5'-CATGCTGCCGGCGTATCCC-3'		
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M} -F	5'-SCSATGTGCAGYACCAGTAA-3'	585	TC-3
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M} -R	5'-ACCGAAYVAGCGGBGC-3'		
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1} -F	5'-AAA AAT CAC TGC GCC AGT TC	415	TC-4
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1} -R	5'-AGC TTA TTC ATC GCC ACG TT		
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-2} -F	5'-CGA CGC TAC CCC TGC TAT T	552	
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-2} -R	5'-CCA GCG TCA GAT TTT TCA GG		
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-8} -F	5'-TCG CGT TAA GCG GAT GAT GC	666	
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-8} -R	5'-AAC CCA CGA TGT GGG TAG C		
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-9} -F	5'-CAA AGA GAG TGC AAC GGA TG	205	
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-9} -R	5'-ATT GGA AAG CGT TCA TCA CC		
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-25} -F	5'-GCA CGA TGA CAT TCG GG	327	
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-25} -R	5'-AAC CCA CGA TGT GGG TAG C		

TC-1: 1 cycle for 15 min at 95 °C, followed by 35 cycles for 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 63 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C, and finally 10 min at 72 °C.
 TC-2: 1 cycle for 15 min at 95 °C, followed by 30 cycles for 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 58.5 °C, and 45 s at 72 °C, and finally 10 min at 72 °C.
 TC-3: 1 cycle for 15 min at 95 °C, followed by 30 cycles for 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, and 45 s at 72 °C, and a final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min.
 TC-4: 1 cycle for 15 min at 94 °C; 5 cycles for 25 s at 94 °C, 40 s at 52 °C, and 50 s at 72 °C, followed by 22 cycles for 25 s at 94 °C, 40 s at 54 °C, and 50 s at 72 °C, and a final elongation at 72 °C for 6 min.

for 18–24 h. The breakpoints with zone diameters were evaluated according to the criteria established by.²² The ESBL Set D67C (MAST Group, UK) with a combination of CPD, CTX, and CAZ ± clavulanate (CLA, 10 µg) was used for disc diffusion confirmation test. The disc inserted plates were incubated at 37 °C for 18–24 h. The zone of inhibition was evaluated according to the criteria described by 22.

Determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)

Micronaut-S beta-lactamase VII Plate (Merlin Diagnostika, Berlin, Germany) was used for the phenotypic detection of ESBL-production, including AmpC, metallo-beta-lactamase (MBL), and carbapenemase (KPC) according to the criteria described by 22. A 50 µL aliquot of 0.5 McFarland-standardised microbial suspension of the isolate was initially vortexed in 10 mL of Mueller Hinton Broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Subsequently, 100 µL of this suspension was pipetted into each well of the plate. After that, the plate was incubated overnight at 37 °C. The reading was recorded using a Thermo Fisher Multiskan FC Spectrometer. The MIC analysis was automatically performed by the MCN6 Software (Sifin, Berlin, Germany).

Extraction of bacterial DNA

Total DNA of ESBL-producing isolates was extracted using the GENESpin DNA Isolation Kit (Eurofins, Hamburg, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA samples were stored at -20 °C for further molecular analyses.

Characterisation of the bla variants

The primer pairs as previously described by 23 were used for screening of *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{SHV} and *bla*_{CTX-M}. The *bla*_{CTX-M} variants (*bla*_{CTX-M-1}, *bla*_{CTX-M-2}, *bla*_{CTX-M-8}, *bla*_{CTX-M-9}, and *bla*_{CTX-M-25})

were sub-characterised according to 24. All primers were prepared by Fullgen Biotechnology and iontek (Istanbul, Turkey). The amplification was performed by a Thermal Cycler PTC-0200G DNA Engine (BioRad, Istanbul, Turkey). The *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{SHV} and *bla*_{CTX-M} were amplified in a singleplex PCR assay while a multiplex PCR assay was performed for *bla*_{CTX-M} variants. The PCR mixtures were prepared in a final volume of 25 µL. All PCR conditions were optimised again as shown in Table 1. Gel-electrophoresis of the PCR products was performed on a 1.5% agarose-gel. The amplicons were photographed by GelDoc 2000 imaging system (BioRad, Istanbul, Turkey). Finally, the analysis was carried-out using Quantity One 4.6.3 GelDoc XR Software (BioRad, Istanbul, Turkey).

Statistical analysis

The Kruskal-Wallis H-test was used for multiple comparison of the proportions of ESBL producers in their sampling groups. The test statistic H was approximated by a χ^2 distribution. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19 (SPSS Inc., USA) statistical package programme, and a P-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

In this study, we screened, for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, 100 raw chicken meat samples, 100 raw cow milk samples, and 50 raw cow milk cheese samples from different cities of Marmara, Aegean and Black Sea regions, and subsequently characterised their encoding *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{SHV} and *bla*_{CTX-M} variants.

Phenotypic results

The phenotypic testing indicated that a total of 55 isolates (29 in raw chicken meat, 24 in raw cow milk, and 2 in raw cow milk

Table 2 – Screening results of the samples for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae.

Origin	No of sample (n, %)	No of ESBL + isolates (n, %)	Species-based distribution (n, %)				
			E. coli	E. cloacae	K. pneumoniae	C. braakii	C. werkmanii
Raw chicken meat	100 (40%)	29 (52.7%)	24 (82.8%)	2 (6.9%)	2 (6.9%)	–	1 (3.4%)
Raw cow milk	100 (40%)	24 (43.6%)	18 (75%)	3 (12.5%)	–	3 (12.5%)	–
Raw cow milk cheese	50 (20%)	2 (3.7%)	2 (100%)	–	–	–	–
Total	250 (100%)	55 (100%)	44 (80%)	5 (9.1%)	2 (3.6%)	3 (5.5%)	1 (1.8%)

cheese) from 250 food samples of animal origin were positive for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae. The most prevalent phenotype was *E. coli* (80%), followed by *Enterobacter cloacae* (9.1%), *Citrobacter braakii* (5.5%), *K. pneumoniae* (3.6%), and *Citrobacter werkmanii* (1.8%) (Table 2).

The disc approximation tests were performed by using CPD, CAZ, and CTX±CLA containing discs according to the criteria set by 22. The average zone differences of CAZ±CLA, CTX±CLA and CPD±CLA were found as 6.83 ± 3.66 mm, 11.97 ± 6.08 mm and 12.76 ± 6.06 mm in chicken meat, respectively, and 7.92 ± 5.07 mm, 13.33 ± 4.78 mm and 12.63 ± 7.11 mm in cow milk, respectively, while 10.00 ± 0.00 mm, 15.00 ± 4.24 mm and 14.50 ± 2.12 mm in cow milk cheese, respectively (Table 3).

The MIC determination revealed that 62.1% of the isolates from raw chicken meat were resistant to CTX, 55.2% to CAZ, 51.7% to CEP, 20.6% to COX, and 6.9% to CMC, while among the isolates from raw cow milk, 79.2% were resistant to CAZ, 75% to CTX, 66.6% to CEP, 54.2% to COX, 4.2% to ERT, and 4.2% to CMC. Two isolates from raw-cow-milk cheese were 100% resistant to CTX, CAZ, CEP, and CMC.

Among the 55 ESBL producers, five isolates (9.1%) were also positive for AmpC. Based on the species, the AmpC-producing isolates were *E. coli* (80%) and *E. cloacae* (20%) from raw chicken meat ($n=1$), raw cow milk ($n=3$), and raw-cow-milk cheese ($n=1$). They were resistant to CAZ (100%), CTX and CEP (80%), CMC (40%), and COX (20%).

Genotypic results

In the genotypic analysis, the PCR products were identified: 53 as *bla*_{TEM}, 36 as *bla*_{CTX-M}, and 19 as *bla*_{SHV}. In the 36 *bla*_{CTX-M}, 35 were clustered as *bla*_{CTX-M-1}, and one as *bla*_{CTX-M-8}. The *bla*_{TEM} was found in multiple combinations with *bla*_{CTX-M-1} & *bla*_{CTX-M-8} in 29, with *bla*_{SHV} in 11, and with *bla*_{SHV} & *bla*_{CTX-M-1} in 7.

The *bla*_{SHV} was detected in a combination with *bla*_{CTX-M-1} only in one instance. Of the 44 *E. coli* isolates, representing the most prevalent ESBL-producing phenotype, a co-existing distribution of *bla* genes was observed as *bla*_{TEM} & *bla*_{CTX-M-1} in 25, *bla*_{SHV} & *bla*_{TEM} in six, *bla*_{SHV} & *bla*_{TEM} & *bla*_{CTX-M-1} in 6, *bla*_{SHV} & *bla*_{CTX-M-1} in one, *bla*_{TEM} & *bla*_{CTX-M-8} in one, *bla*_{TEM} alone in four, and *bla*_{CTX-M-1} alone in one, respectively (Table 4).

Statistical results

The multiple comparison of the proportions of ESBL producers in their sampling groups was performed using Kruskal-Wallis H-test ($H = 5.935$; $P < 0.05$). The test statistic H was approximated by χ^2 distribution (CI 95%; $\chi^2 = 12.5291$; $P = 0.001$). Since H was less than χ^2 , no statistically significant difference was observed for the association between ESBL proportions and their sampling groups ($P < 0.05$) with respect to the food types of animal origin.

Discussion

In this study, we screened for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae from raw chicken meat, raw cow milk and raw-cow-milk cheese samples. Subsequently, we characterised genotypically their encoding genes. The results highlighted that 55 isolates were positive for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae; ESBL-producing *E. coli* (80%) was the most frequent species; a combination of *bla*_{TEM} & *bla*_{CTX-M} (52.7%) was the most common pattern; and the major variant of *bla*_{CTX-M} was defined as *bla*_{CTX-M-1} (97.2%), respectively.

The ESBL encoding genetic elements are transferable between the same and different bacterial species.^{4,25} A study reported that the antibiotic residues in calf urine resulted in amplification of the populations of antibiotic resistant

Table 3 – Zone diameters of CPD, CTX, and CAZ ± CLA.

Antibiotic agent	Raw chicken meat (n = 29)		Raw cow milk (n = 24)		Raw-cow-milk cheese (n = 2)	
	Mean (mm)	Std. deviation (±mm)	Mean (mm)	Std. deviation (±mm)	Mean (mm)	Std. deviation (±mm)
CAZ	18.24	5.125	16.25	4.057	14.5	3.536
CAZ CLA	25.07	6	24.17	4.603	24.5	3.536
Δ1	6.83	3.665	7.92	5.073	10	0
CTX	15.59	6.196	11.29	4.428	12	1.414
CTX CLA	27.55	6.3	24.63	4.817	27	5.657
Δ2	11.97	6.08	13.33	4.779	15	4.243
CPD	7.03	5.723	2.13	4.047	6	0
CPD CLA	19.79	5.87	14.75	7.837	20.5	2.121
Δ3	12.76	6.063	12.63	7.113	14.5	2.121

Table 4 – genotypic characteristics of 55 ESBL-producers isolated.

Source	Species	No of isolates	ESBL-type detected by PCR
Raw chicken meat	<i>E. coli</i>	3	<i>bla</i> _{TEM}
	<i>E. coli</i>	12	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}
	<i>E. coli</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-8}
	<i>E. coli</i>	6	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{SHV}
	<i>E. coli</i>	2	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{SHV} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}
	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{TEM}
	<i>E. cloacae</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{SHV}
	<i>C. werkmanii</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{TEM}
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	2	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{SHV} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}
Raw cow milk	<i>E. coli</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{TEM}
	<i>E. coli</i>	13	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}
	<i>E. coli</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{SHV} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}
	<i>E. coli</i>	3	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{SHV} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}
	<i>E. cloacae</i>	3	<i>bla</i> _{TEM}
	<i>C. brakii</i>	3	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{SHV}
Raw-cow-milk cheese	<i>E. coli</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{TEM} & <i>bla</i> _{SHV} & <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}
	<i>E. coli</i>	1	<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-1}

bacteria in the pen soil; and presumably, this increased the probability of transmission of these resistant populations to calves and then the animal-derived food chain.²⁶ This might lead to a risk for infection and colonisation of the human intestinal microbiome with ESBL producing bacteria such as *E. coli*, *E. cloacae*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Proteus* spp. and *Citrobacter* spp., through various foods of animal origin²⁷⁻³⁰; when these foods are directly consumed without undergoing any thermal process, or used for raw milk cheese production.³¹ Due to this threat, the Codex Alimentarius Commission established an intergovernmental task force.³² Following that, in 2014, the Standard Committee of European Doctors (CPME), the Council of European Dentists (CED) and the Federation of Veterinarians of Europe (FVE) issued a common press release for all the authorities to manage the problem of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae (www.fve.org). In our study, a high occurrence of ESBL producers and their encoding genes in the examined foods of animal origin indicate a health risk for the Turkish consumers.

Since the early 2000s, *E. coli* has become the most concerned member for infection and colonisation of the food animals.³³⁻³⁶ An ESBL-producing *E. coli* associated mortality was three-times higher than non-ESBL producing *E. coli*.³⁷ The National Surveillance Network by the Ministry of Health in Turkey (www.uhes.saglik.gov.tr) has reported an increasing prevalence of ESBL-producing *E. coli* (33.2% in 2008 and 48.83% in 2013) and ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* (40% in 2008 and 49.69% in 2013). However, the role of foods in the dissemination of ESBL-producing bacteria and their encoding genes to humans has not been addressed so far in Turkey. In our study, the frequency of ESBL-producing *E. coli* was similar to those

reported in Portugal (85%)³⁸ and Spain (93.3%),³³ while it was higher than those reported in Denmark (1.3%),³⁹ India (3.1%),⁴⁰ China (12.4%),⁴¹ Germany (53.9%),⁴² Belgium (45%),⁴³ Austria (24%)⁴⁴ and Taiwan (10.5%).³⁶ Our study also points *E. coli* being an important foodborne ESBL carrier.

Numerous phenotypic methods have been developed to detect ESBL production by Enterobacteriaceae. However, it is not still clear which tests are the most sensitive.⁴⁵ In our study, the phenotypic screening and confirmation of ESBL production were performed by the disc approximation tests. All of the used disc combinations showed good sensitivities in accordance with the criteria established by 22. After the disc approximation tests, MIC was determined due to the presence of ESBL encoding genes on the same plasmid.⁴⁶ The MIC revealed that 55 ESBL producers were resistant to CTX (MIC $\geq 4 \mu\text{g/mL}$) and CAZ (MIC $\geq 16 \mu\text{g/mL}$), and partly variable to CPD (MIC $\geq 8 \mu\text{g/mL}$). Basically, TEM and SHV type beta-lactamases are resistant to CAZ, and variable to CTX while CTX-M type beta-lactamase exhibits resistance to CPD and variable to CAZ because CTX-M type beta-lactamase may escape from screening by CAZ. A survey in Europe reported that up to 33% of ESBLs strains remained undetected, therefore, in this case, genotypic confirmation is further needed.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ In our study, the phenotypic results indicated that TEM and CTX-M type beta lactamases were the most commonly encountered ESBLs.

The co-existence of ESBL and AmpC beta-lactamases is a worldwide growing concern.^{5,51} ESBL and AmpC producing enterobacteria have been clinically reported as 19.5% in Europe, and as 13.9% in Turkey.^{52,53} In our study, AmpC producers were *E. coli* and *E. cloacae*, as reported earlier.^{52,54,55} Failure to detect these beta lactamases has contributed to their uncontrolled spread and sometimes the consequent therapeutic failures.⁵⁶ For Enterobacteriaceae, the use of either cefepime (CEP) or cefpirome (CPO) \pm CLA is recommended since they are un-affected by AmpC.⁵¹ In contrast, our results revealed that AmpC producers were 100% resistant to CEP + CLA. This indicates that the techniques to detect AmpC beta-lactamase are still evolving and are not yet optimised.⁵⁷

The genotypic characterisation by PCR assays revealed that *bla*_{TEM} was the most frequent gene, followed by *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{SHV}. In Turkey, the clinically reported most frequent beta-lactamase type was CTX-M, followed by TEM and SHV, while another study reported a frequency of SHV higher than TEM.^{15,58,59} Our genotypic results reporting the most frequent genes as *bla*_{TEM} & *bla*_{CTX-M} were also epidemiologically similar to the most commonly encountered ESBL encoding genes in chicken meat from Eastern Europe and Near East,⁷ Southern America,^{30,60} Tunisia,⁶¹ Northern European countries,³³ Japan,^{62,63} Germany,⁶⁴ China,³⁵ Austria⁶⁵ and India,⁴⁰ followed by the raw milk from Spain,²¹ England⁶⁶ and Taiwan³⁶, and by the cheese and dairy products from Portugal,³⁸ England,⁶⁶ Iran⁶⁷ and Egypt,⁶⁸ respectively. However, the foodborne ESBL-producing bacteria and their encoding genes in Turkey have not been addressed till date, and the foodborne beta-lactamases have been studied only by disc approximation testing, excluding genotypic characterisation.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ Therefore, our study confirms that the foods of animal origin in Turkey are potential reservoirs of diverse ESBL encoding genes with

a co-resistance pattern, which can be disseminated to clinical and community settings.

We detected CTX-M-1 cluster as the most prevalent subtype of CTX-M type beta lactamases. Chromosomal *bla* gene, *kluC*, present in *Kluyvera cryocrescens* is the ancestor of CTX-M-1 cluster.⁷² The CTX-M type ESBLs are currently recognised as the part of the most threatening mechanism of antibiotic resistance in clinical and community settings, virtually invading all human and animal compartments as well as the environment all over the world, and are increasingly being predominant form in Enterobacteriaceae worldwide.^{47,72–74} South America is known as an important source of CTX-M type ESBL originated from clinical settings.⁷⁵ In Turkey, CTX-M-1 group was found in 86.8% of the clinical *E. coli* isolates.⁷⁶ Our results showed that the dissemination of CTX-M-1 cluster was not restricted to the clinical settings, but also involved the foods of animal origin, causing rapid, important and unpredictable changes in the epidemiology of antibiotic resistance.⁷⁵

In 2007, European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) recommended that bacterial strains containing transferable resistance genes should not be used in animal feeds and fermented and probiotic foods for human use.^{77,78} However, the issue of antibiotics resistance bacteria in foods has not yet been seriously raised as a health safety indicator. In conclusion, the foods of animal origin sold in Turkey have been found as potential reservoirs for diverse ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae and their encoding genes with a co-resistance pattern, thus posing a critical health risk for the Turkish consumers.

Disclaimer

This article is based on the Doctoral thesis entitled “Characterization of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae from Foods Using Molecular Method” by İsmail Hakkı Tekiner, 2015, Department of Food Engineering, Institute of Natural and Applied Sciences, Istanbul Aydin University, TR-34295 Istanbul, Turkey.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Wang H, McEntire JC, Zhang L, Li X, Doyle M. The transfer of antibiotic resistance from food to humans: facts, implications and future directions. *Rev Sci Tech*. 2012;31:249–260.
- Thenmozhi S, Moorthy K, Sureshkumar BT, Suresh M. Antibiotic resistance mechanism of ESBL producing Enterobacteriaceae in clinical field: a review. *Int J Pure Appl Biosci*. 2014;2:207–226.
- Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: a clinical update. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2005;18:657–686.
- Rupp ME, Fey PD. Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae: considerations for diagnosis, prevention and drug treatment. *Drugs*. 2003;63:353–365.
- EFSA. Scientific opinion on the public health risks of bacterial strains producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases and/or AmpC β-lactamases in food and food-producing animals. *EFSA J*. 2011;9:2322–2417.
- Liebana E, Carattoli A, Coque TM, et al. Public health risks of enterobacterial isolates producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases or AmpC β-lactamases in food and food-producing animals: an EU perspective of epidemiology, analytical methods, risk factors, and control options. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;56:1030–1037.
- Bonnet R. Growing group of extended-spectrum β-lactamases: the CTX-M enzymes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48:1–14.
- Fernandes R, Amador P, Oliveira C, Prudêncio G. Molecular characterization of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Northern Portugal. *Sci World J*. 2014;2014:782897, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/782897>.
- Giedraitienė A, Vitkauskienė A, Naginienė R, Pavilonis A. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms of clinically important bacteria. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2011;47:137–146.
- Mesa RJ, Blanc V, Blanch AR, et al. Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in different environments (humans, food, animal farms and sewage). *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2006;58:211–215.
- Reuland EA, al Naiem N, Raadsen SA, Savelkoul PHM, Kluytmans JA JW, Vandebroucke-Grauls CM JE. Prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in raw vegetables. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2014;33:1843–2184.
- Ghafourian S, Sadeghifard N, Soheili S, Sekawi Z. Extended spectrum beta-lactamases: definition, classification and epidemiology. *Curr Issues Mol Biol*. 2014;17:11–22.
- von Salvati C, Laube H, Guerra B, Roesler U, Friese A. Emission of ESBL/AmpC-producing *Escherichia coli* from pig fattening farms to surrounding areas. *Vet Microbiol*. 2015;175:77–84.
- Habib MA, Sarwar Y, Ali A, Salman M, Haque A. Rapid emergence of ESBL producers in *E. coli* causing urinary and wound infections in Pakistan. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2013;29: 540–544.
- Sharma M, Pathak S, Srivastava P. Prevalence and antibiogram of extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) producing Gram negative bacilli and further molecular characterization of ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013;7:2173–2177.
- WHO. Integrated surveillance of antimicrobial resistance: guidance from a WHO Advisory Group; 2013 ISBN 978 92 4 150631 1, Switzerland.
- Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance – the need for global solutions. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2013;13:1057–1098.
- Capita R, Alonso-Calleja C. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria: a challenge for the food industry. *Crit Rev Food Sci*. 2013;53:11–48.
- Arslan S, Özdemir F. Extended spectrum beta-lactamases in *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from homemade white cheeses: prevalence and antibiotics susceptibility. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2008;24:2361–2364.
- Yibar A, Soyutemiz E. Antibiotics use in food-producing animals and possible residual risk. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Vet Bil Derg*. 2013;8:97–104.
- Geser N, Stephan R, Hächler H. Occurrence and characteristics of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae in food producing animals, minced meat and raw milk. *BMC Vet Res*. 2013;8:21.
- CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Twenty-Third Informational Supplement. CLSI Document; 2013. M100-S23.

23. Ojdana D, Sacha PB, Wieczorek P, et al. The occurrence of *blaCTX-M*, *blaSHV*, and *blaTEM* genes in extended-spectrum β -lactamase-positive strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Proteus mirabilis* in Poland. *Int J Antibiott.* 2014;2014:935842.
24. Woodford N, Fagan EJ, Ellington MJ. Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding CTX-M extended-spectrum β -lactamases. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2006;57:154–155.
25. Haque A, Yoshizumi A, Saga T, Ishii Y, Tateda K. ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in environmental water in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *J Infect Chemother.* 2014;20:735–737.
26. Mitchell SM, Subbiah M, Ullman JL, Frear C, Call DR. Evaluation of 27 different biochars for potential sequestration of antibiotic residues in food animal production environments. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 2015;3:162–169.
27. Allen HK, Donato J, Wang HH, Cloud-Hansen KA, Davies J, Handelsman J. Call of the wild: antibiotic resistance genes in natural environments. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2010;8:251–259.
28. Lupo A, Papp-Wallace KM, Sendi P, Bonomo RA, Endimiani A. Non-phenotypic tests to detect and characterize antibiotic resistance mechanisms in Enterobacteriaceae. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2013;77:179–194.
29. Stefani S, Giovanelli I, Anacarso I, et al. Prevalence and characterization of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in food-producing animals in Northern Italy. *New Microbiol.* 2014;37:551–555.
30. Bonelli RR, Moreira BM, Picão RC. Antimicrobial resistance among Enterobacteriaceae in South America: history, current dissemination status and associated socioeconomic factors. *Drug Resist Update.* 2014;17:24–36.
31. Overdevest I, Willemsen I, Rijnsburger M, et al. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase genes of *Escherichia coli* in chicken meat and humans, The Netherlands. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2011;17:1216–1222.
32. Doyle MP, Loneragan GH, Scott HM, Singer RS. Antimicrobial resistance: challenges and perspectives. *Compr Rev Food Sci Foo Saf.* 2013;12:234–248.
33. Aidara-Kane A, Andremont A, Collignon P. Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain and the AGISAR initiative. *J Infect Public Health.* 2013;6:162–165.
34. Lahlaoui H, Ben Haj Khalifa A, Ben Moussa M. Epidemiology of Enterobacteriaceae producing CTX-M type extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL). *Méd Maladies Infect.* 2014;44:400–404.
35. Rao L, Lv L, Zeng Z, et al. Increasing prevalence of extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant *Escherichia coli* in food animals and the diversity of CTX-M genotypes during 2003–2012. *Vet Microbiol.* 2014;172:534–541.
36. Su Y, Yu CY, Tsai Y, Wang SH, Lee C, Chu C. Fluoroquinolone-resistant and extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* from the milk of cows with clinical mastitis in Southern Taiwan. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect.* 2014, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2014.10.003>.
37. Melzera M, Petersen I. Mortality following bacteraemic infection caused by extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *E. coli* compared to non-ESBL producing *E. coli*. *J Infect.* 2007;55:254–259.
38. Amador P, Fernandes R, Prudêncio C, Brito L. Resistance to β -lactams in bacteria isolated from different types of Portuguese cheese. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2009;10:1538–1551.
39. Garcia-Migura L, Hendriksen RS, Fraile L, Aarestrup FM. Antimicrobial resistance of zoonotic and commensal bacteria in Europe: the missing link between consumption and resistance in veterinary medicine. *Vet Microbiol.* 2014;170:1–9.
40. Kar D, Bandyopadhyay S, Bhattacharyya D, et al. Molecular and phylogenetic characterization of multidrug resistant extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from poultry and cattle in Odisha, India. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2015;29:82–90.
41. Zheng H, Zeng Z, Chen S, et al. Prevalence and characterisation of CTX-M β -lactamases amongst *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy food animals in China. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2012;39:305–310.
42. Schwaiger K, Huther S, Hözel C, Kämpf P, Bauer J. Prevalence of antibiotic-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolated from chicken and pork meat purchased at the slaughterhouse and at retail in Bavaria, Germany. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2012;154:206–211.
43. Smet A, Martel A, Persoons D, et al. Diversity of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases and class C beta-lactamases among cloacal *Escherichia coli* isolates in Belgian broiler farms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2008;52:1238–1243.
44. Petternel C, Galler H, Zarfel G, et al. Isolation and characterization of multidrug-resistant bacteria from minced meat in Austria. *Food Microbiol.* 2014;44:41–46.
45. Garrec H, Drieux-Rouzet L, Golmard JL, Jarlier V, Robert J. Comparison of nine phenotypic methods for detection of extended-spectrum β -lactamase Production by Enterobacteriaceae. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2011;49:1048–1057.
46. Perez F, Endimiani A, Hujer KM, Bonomo RA. The continuing challenge of ESBLs. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2007;7:459–469.
47. Naas T, Oxacelay C, Nordmann P. Identification of CTX-M-Type extended-spectrum- β -lactamase genes using real-time PCR and pyrosequencing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2007;51:223–230.
48. Bradford PA. Extended-spectrum β -lactamases in the 21st century: characterization, epidemiology, and detection of this important resistance threat. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2001;14:933–951.
49. Srisangkaew S, Vorachit M. The optimum agent for screening and confirmatory tests for extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Ramathibodi Hospital, Thailand. *J Infect Dis Antimicrob Agents.* 2004;21:1–5.
50. Taneja N, Sharma M. ESBL detection in clinical microbiology: why & how? *Indian J Med Res.* 2008;127:297–300.
51. Thomson KS. Extended-spectrum- β -lactamase, AmpC, and carbapenemase issues. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2010;48:1019–1025.
52. Turner PJ. MYSTIC Europe 2007: activity of meropenem and other broad-spectrum agents against nosocomial isolates. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2009;63:217–222.
53. Korten V, Ulusoy S, Zarakolu P, Mete B, Turkish MYSTIC Study Group. Antibiotic resistance surveillance over a 4-year period (2000–2003) in Turkey: results of the MYSTIC program. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2007;59:453–457.
54. Pérez-Pérez FJ, Hanson ND. Detection of plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamase genes in clinical isolates by using multiplex PCR. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2002;40:2153–2162.
55. Kiratisin P, Henprasert A. Genotypic analysis of plasmid-mediated beta-lactamases amongst Enterobacteriaceae other than *Escherichia* spp. and *Klebsiella* spp. that are non-susceptible to a broad-spectrum cephalosporin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2010;36:343–347.
56. Thomson KS. Controversies about extended-spectrum and AmpC beta-lactamases. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2001;7:333–336.
57. Jacoby GA. AmpC beta-lactamases. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2009;22:161–182.
58. Nazik H, Bektore B, Onen B, et al. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* urinary isolates from two teaching hospitals in Turkey: coexistence of TEM, SHV, CTX-M and VEB-1 Type β -lactamases. *Trop J Pharm Res.* 2011;10:325–333.

59. Zaniani FR, Meshkat Z, Nasab MN, et al. The prevalence of TEM and SHV genes among extended-spectrum betalactamases producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Iran J Basic Med Sci.* 2012;15:654–660.
60. Ferreira JC, Filho RACP, Andrade LN, Junior AB, Darini ALC. IncI1/ST113 and IncI1/ST114 conjugative plasmids carrying blaCTX-M-8 in *Escherichia coli* isolated from poultry in Brazil. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;80:304–306.
61. Chouchani C, Marrakchi R, El Salabi A. Evolution of β -lactams resistance in Gram-negative bacteria in Tunisia. *Crit Rev Microbiol.* 2011;37:167–177.
62. Hiroi M, Yamazaki F, Harada T, et al. Prevalence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in food-producing animals. *J Vet Med Sci.* 2012;74:189–195.
63. Kawamura K, Goto K, Nakane K, Arakawa Y. Molecular epidemiology of extended-spectrum β -lactamases and *Escherichia coli* isolated from retail foods including chicken meat in Japan. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2014;11:104–110.
64. Reich F, Atanassova V, Klein G. Extended-spectrum β -lactamase- and AmpC-producing enterobacteria in healthy broiler chickens, Germany. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2013;19:1253–1259.
65. Zarfel G, Galler H, Luxner J, et al. multiresistant bacteria isolated from chicken meat in Austria. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2014;11:12582–12593.
66. Randall L, Heinrich K, Horton R, et al. Detection of antibiotic residues and association of cefquinome residues with the occurrence of extended-spectrum β -Lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria in waste milk samples from dairy farms in England and Wales in 2011. *Res Vet Sci.* 2014;96:15–24.
67. Khoshbakht R, Shahed A, Aski HS. Characterization of extended spectrum B-lactamase-producing *Escherichiae coli* strains isolated from dairy products. *J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci.* 2014;3:333–336.
68. Ahmed AM, Shimamoto T. Molecular analysis of multidrug resistance in Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolated from meat and dairy products. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2015;193:68–73.
69. Gundogan N, Yakar U. Siderophore production, serum resistance, hemolytic activity and extended spectrum beta lactamase producing *Klebsiella* species isolated from milk and milk products. *J Food Saf.* 2007;3:251–260.
70. Gundogan N, Citak S, Yalcin E. Virulence properties of extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella* species in meat samples. *J Food Prot.* 2011;74: 559–564.
71. Gundogan N, Avci E. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species isolated from foods of animal origin in Turkey. *Afr J Microbiol Res.* 2013;7:4059–4064.
72. Cantón R, González-Alba JM, Galán JC. CTX-M enzymes: origin and diffusion. *Front Microbiol.* 2012;3:110.
73. Priyadharsini RI, Kavitha A, Rajan R, Mathavi S, Rajesh KR. Prevalence of bla CTX M extended spectrum beta lactamase gene in Enterobacteriaceae from critical care patients. *J Lab Physicians.* 2011;3:80–83.
74. Hawkey PM. Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: a product of globalization. *J Hosp Infect.* 2015;89:241–247.
75. Rossolini GM, D'Andrea MM, Mugnaioli C. The spread of CTX-M-type extended-spectrum β -lactamases. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2008;14:33–41.
76. Gonullu N, Aktas Z, Kayacan CB, et al. Dissemination of CTX-M-15 β -lactamase genes carried on Inc F1 and FII plasmids among clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* in a University Hospital in Istanbul, Turkey. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2008;46:1110–1112.
77. Vankerckhoven V, Huys G, Vancanneyt M, et al. Biosafety assessment of probiotics used for human consumption: recommendations from the EU-PROSAFE Project. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* 2008;19:102–114.
78. Sharma P, Tomar SK, Goswami P, Sangwan V, Singh R. Antibiotic resistance among commercially available probiotics. *Food Res Int.* 2014;57:176–195.